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#### Abstract

Summary The $\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {III }}$ complex [ $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}$ (acac)(acacH)] (acacH $=$ acetylacetone) was isolated in high yield by reacting $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3}$ with acacH . The compound was used as a convenient starting material for the synthesis of a variety of $\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {III }}$ complexes, viz. $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\operatorname{acac}) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{AsPh}_{3}, \mathrm{py}, \mathrm{MeCN}\right.$, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$, o-phenylenediamine; $\mathrm{L}_{2}=$ phen or bipy) and $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(\mathrm{acac})\right]\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{Rb}\right.$ or Cs$)$. The compounds were characterized by physicochemical and spectroscopic methods.


## Introduction

Known complexes of ruthenium(III) containing acetylacetonato, halogeno and triphenylphosphine or triphenylarsine groups include $\left[\mathrm{RuX}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{MPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \quad(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ or $\mathrm{Br} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{P}$ or As$)^{(1-4)}$, which are generally prepared by reacting $\left[\mathrm{RuX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right],\left[\mathrm{RuX}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ or $\left[\mathrm{RuX}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{MPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH})\right](\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ or $\mathrm{Br} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{P}$ or As) with acetylacetone in a neutral solvent. The only mixed halogenoacetylacetonato complex of ruthenium reported to date is $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$, which was obtained by the reaction of ruthenium(III) chloride with acetylacetone at $\mathrm{pH} 1.2^{(5)}$. Complexes containing halides and neutral acetylacetone molecules, or halides, acetylacetonate and acetylacetone, have been described for some of the transition metal ions ${ }^{(6-9)}$, but no reports on syntheses of ruthenium(II) or ruthenium(III) complexes containing neutral acetylacetone and other ligands are available. In the present work, the preparation and characterization of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ is reported. $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ was used to synthesize compounds of the type $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right.$, $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}, \mathrm{py}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ or $o$-phenylenediamine; $\mathrm{L}_{2}=$ phen or bipy) and $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(\mathrm{acac})\right]\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{Cs}\right.$ or Rb ) are also described.

## Experimental

All the solvents were freshly distilled before use. $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3}$. $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was used as supplied by Arora-Matthey Ltd, Calcutta. The analyses for Cl were carried out by a standard method ${ }^{(10)}$ after decomposition with a $\mathrm{KOH} / \mathrm{KNO}_{3}$ mixture. $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ analyses were obtained from the Regional Sophisticated Instrumentation Centre, CDRI, Lucknow (Table 1). Ir. spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer 983 IR spectrophotometer in the $4000-200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range and electronic absorption spectra were recorded in solution on a Hitachi- 330 spectrophotometer in the $800-$ 250 nm range. The results are given in Table 2. Magnetic susceptibilities were measured on an EG \& G PARC Vibrating Sample Magnetometer at room temperature. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra were recorded on a Varian EM-390, 90 MHz spectrometer, in acetone $-d_{6}$. Conductivity measurements were made on Wayne Kerr, Automatic Precision Bridge

[^0]B905 conductivity meter with millimolar solutions in MeCN and distilled water. Electrochemical measurements (c.v.) were carried out on an EG \& G PARC Voltameter. The experiments were carried out in a three electrode configuration using a Pt disc as the working electrode. Reported potentials are uncorrected for the junction contribution.

## Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$

A solution of $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.25 \mathrm{~g})$ in acetylacetone $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was heated in a water bath at ca. $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , until a purple-red solution was obtained. The solution was concentrated to $\mathrm{ca} .1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ in a water bath and cooled to room temperature. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added with stirring to give a redviolet coloured precipitate. This was centrifuged and washed 2-3 times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried in vacuo. The compound was recrystallized from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /hexane mixture. It analysed correctly for $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$; yield: 0.22 g ( $62 \%$ ).

## General method for reacting [ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$

 with various ligands$\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right](0.2 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in MeOH $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, and a solution of the ligand in $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added. The reaction mixture was boiled under reflux in a water bath (ca. $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for a few hours. The product, which separated or was precipitated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ after concentrating the mother liquor, was isolated by centrifugation and washed successively with MeOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried in vacuo. Specific reaction conditions for each ligand are given in Table 3.

Preparation of $M_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(a c a c)\right](M=\mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Cs}$ or $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ )
To a solution of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right](0.2 \mathrm{~g})$ in MeOH $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, a solution of $\mathrm{MCl}\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cs}, \mathrm{Rb}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ (molar ratio 1:2) was added. The reaction mixture was boiled under reflux for 1 h , and the precipitate isolated by centrifugation, washed with MeOH and then with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ and dried in vacuo.

## Results and discussion

The only reported halogeno-acetylacetonato complex of ruthenium(III), $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$, was prepared ${ }^{(5)}$ by reacting ruthenium(III) chloride with acetylacetone at pH 1.2. Our attempts to obtain the above compound according to the reported method were unsuccessful. However, we obtained a red-violet compound of the composition $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ by reacting ruthenium(III) chloride with acetylacetone under neutral conditions. The low value of the molar conductance ( $35 \Omega^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) in acetonitrile, and the magnetic moment of 1.9 B.M. at room temperature suggested that the complex covalently bonded chloride, and that the metal ion was a $\mathrm{d}^{5}$, low-spin system.

Table 1. Physical and analytical data for the complexes.

| Compound | Colour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.p. } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Found C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d. }) \% \\ & \mathbf{H} \end{aligned}$ | N | Cl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ | Red-violet | 160-5 | $\begin{gathered} 32.5 \\ (32.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 19.8 \\ (19.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | Green | 235 | $\begin{gathered} 61.6 \\ (61.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ (4.7) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | Green | 285 | $\begin{gathered} 55.8 \\ (55.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ (4.2) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)_{2}\right]$ | Brown | 215-20 | $\begin{aligned} & 25.5 \\ & (25.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]$ | Light violet | 220-5 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.2 \\ (30.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ (3.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \\ (7.9) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{py})_{2}\right]$ | Reddish brown | 295-300 | $\begin{gathered} 41.9 \\ (42.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.7 \\ (6.5) \end{array}$ |  |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{PDA})_{2}\right]$ | Blue violet | > 360 | $\begin{gathered} 41.4 \\ (41.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ (4.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ (11.5) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| [ $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})($ (phen $\left.)\right]$ | Dark brown | 205 | $\begin{gathered} 44.9 \\ (45.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.4 \\ (3.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.5 \\ (6.2) \end{array}$ |  |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{bpy})\right]$ | Dark brown | $300^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.8 \\ (42.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (6.6) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ | Orange | $290^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.8 \\ (31.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ (5.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.5 \\ (29.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{Rb}_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ | Light violet | $340^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.7 \\ (9.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 22.9 \\ (23.4) \end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ | Pink | $350^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 26.8 \\ & (27.7) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Decomposes.

Table 2. Important i.r. and electronic absorption bands for the complexes.

| Compound | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I.r. bands } \\ & \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{n} \\ & \mathrm{CHCl} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1629,1545,1517, \\ & 467,350 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 525 \\ & 385 \\ & 330 \text { sh } \\ & 288 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (3200) \\ & (4170) \\ & (4830) \\ & (8720) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 530 \\ & 370 \\ & 330 \mathrm{sh} \\ & 285 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (2670) \\ & (3710) \\ & (4300) \\ & (7420) \end{aligned}$ |
| trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1550,1520,456, \\ & 324 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 610 \\ & 388 \mathrm{sh} \\ & 300 \text { sh } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (235) \\ & (2650) \\ & (23100) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1550,1516,474, \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 588 \\ & 394 \\ & 350 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (293) \\ & (2250) \\ & (2600) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2286,1536,1517, \\ & 466,353,325 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 530 \\ & 390 \\ & 290 \\ & 236 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (2360) \\ & (3880) \\ & (7930) \\ & (15650) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{py})_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1545,1517,476, \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 600 \\ & 520 \mathrm{sh} \\ & 360 \text { sh } \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (268) \\ & (442) \\ & (7500) \\ & (11530) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{phen})\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1545,1515,464, \\ & 350,325 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 505 \mathrm{sh} \\ & 450 \\ & 390 \text { sh } \\ & 320 \text { sh } \\ & 270 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (2740) \\ & (3550) \\ & (2890) \\ & (6620) \\ & (53000) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{bipy})\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1545,1515,467, \\ & 332 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 510 \mathrm{sh} \\ & 470 \\ & 350 \mathrm{sh} \\ & 290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (2050) \\ & (2200) \\ & (4185) \\ & (25640) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1545,1514,1106, \\ & 1089,429,392, \\ & 349 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ) |

Table 2. (Continued).

| Compound | I.r. bands <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{nm})^{\mathrm{b}}$ <br> $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{PDA})_{2}\right]$ | $1564,1517,451$, |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ | 344 |  |  |
|  | $1545,1516,949$, | 510 | $(416)$ |
| $\mathrm{Rb}_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ | 453,307 |  |  |
|  | $1533,1515,456$, | 330 | $(2990)$ |
|  | 312 | 285 | $(4700)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ | $1533,1515,454$, | 510 | $(445)$ |
|  | 308 | 340 | $(2930)$ |
|  |  | 285 | $(4050)$ |
|  |  | 510 | $(6480)$ |
|  |  | 340 | $(3800)$ |

${ }^{2}$ I.r. in $\mathrm{KBr} ;{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ 数 parenthesis; $\mathrm{sh}=$ shoulder.

Table 3. Conditions for the reactions of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ with various ligands.

| Ligand | Molar ratio <br> (compound:ligand) | Refluxing <br> time $(\mathrm{h})$ | Isolation <br> method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{(a)}$ Compound separated out after the reaction, and was washed successively with MeOH and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; ${ }^{(b)}$ vol. of solution reduced to $\mathrm{ca}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to precipitate the compound; ${ }^{(c)} \mathrm{MeCN}$ was used as solvent.

The i.r. spectrum of the compound in KBr exhibited three bands in the carbonyl stretching region (Table 2). Bands at 1545 and $1517 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ may be assigned to a chelated O-bonded acetylacetonato group ${ }^{(11)} . v(\mathrm{CO})$ for coordinated neutral acetylacetone in the keto form is observed ca. $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1(6)}$, whereas for coordinated neutral acetylacetone in the enol form it is observed at a lower frequency, as in the case of $\left[\mathrm{MnBr}_{2}(\mathrm{acacH})_{2}\right]$, at $1627 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1(6)}$. Thus, the $1629 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ absorption for $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ may be assigned to $v(\mathrm{CO})$ of neutral acetylacetone coordinated in the enol form to the metal.
$\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ has a labile acetylacetone molecule which is readily replaced by a wide variety of donors (vide infra) and is released from the complex in noncoordinating solvents. Thus, the i.r. spectrum of the compound in chloroform showed two new bands at 3640 and $1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, assigned to $v(\mathrm{OH})$ and $v(\mathrm{CO})$ of free acetylacetone released from the complex ${ }^{(6)}$. Other i.r. bands in solution are similar to those in KBr . The far-i.r. spectrum showed absorptions at 467 and $350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which were assigned to $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{O})$ and $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Cl})$, respectively ${ }^{(6)}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-n.m.r. spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ in acetone$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ also confirmed the presence of a neutral acetylacetone molecule, and exhibited signals at $\delta 2.1$ and 2.4 ppm , assigned to the methyl protons of uncoordinated acetylacetone in its enol and $\beta$-diketone forms respectively ${ }^{(12)}$. Further proton signals at $\delta 5.42$ and 4.27 ppm may be assigned to $-\mathrm{CH}=$ and $-\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-$ of the enol and $\beta$-diketone forms of free acetylacetone respectively ${ }^{(12)}$.

The cyclic voltammogram (Figure 1) of the complex for a ca. $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution in acetonitrile using tetraethylammonium perchlorate as supporting electrolyte showed only one reversible (or quasi-reversible, $\Delta E=90 \mathrm{mV}$ )


Figure 1. C.v. of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acach})\right]\left(10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in MeCN with 0.1 M TEAP as supporting electrolyte.
reduction wave at a negative potential ( $E_{1 / 2}=-0.29 \mathrm{~V}$ ), which corresponds to ruthenium(III)/ruthenium(II) reduction versus s.c.e. ${ }^{(4)}$.

## Reactions of [ $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}$ (acac)(acacH)] with ligands

$\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ is soluble in many organic solvents, viz. ethanol, methanol, acetonitrile, acetone, chloroform, etc., permitting a wide study of the reactivity of this compound with donors. As discussed above, neutral acetylacetone is weakly coordinated to the metal ion. Thus, in a solution, $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ or $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{S})_{2}\right](\mathrm{S}=$ solvent) is obtained. This species reacts with donor molecules to give $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right.$, py, $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ or $o$-phenylenediamine; $\mathrm{L}_{2}=$ phen or bipy). The molar conductances of these complexes in acetonitrile at room temperature were very low ( $20-30 \Omega^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ), confirming that the chlorides are covalently bound in all cases. The magnetic moments for $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right](\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{py}$ or $\mathrm{L}_{2}=$ phen) lie in the range $1.8-2.0 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{M}$., confirming a low spin $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ ruthenium(III) system.
The absence of the i.r. band at $1629 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\right.$ (acac) $\left.\mathrm{L}_{2}\right]$ confirms that the neutral acetylacetone molecule has been lost. However, two bands between 1550 and $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [assigned to $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $\left.v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})\right]$ and other characteristic bands of the O-bonded chelated acetylacetonato group are observed ${ }^{(13)}$. Bands between $476-$ $455 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $350-320 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ may be assigned to $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{O})$ and $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Cl})$, respectively. For the complexes of the nitrogen donor ligands, two medium intensity bands or one strong band in the $350-320 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region may be assigned to $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{N})$ and $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Cl})$, or a combination of the two. In the case of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)_{2}\right]$ two strong bands at 1106 and $1089 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a weak band at $392 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are assigned to $v(\mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{O})$ and $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{S})$, respectively of S -bonded $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ groups ${ }^{(14)}$. For $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\right.$ $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$ ] a weak intensity band at $2286 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to $v(\mathrm{CN})$ of the two MeCN ligands bonded in a trans fashion ${ }^{(15)}$.

Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\operatorname{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ with $\mathrm{MCl}(\mathrm{M}=$ $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$, Cs or Rb ) resulted in complexes of the formula $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(\mathrm{acac})\right]\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right.$, Cs or Rb ). These compounds are insoluble in most organic solvents, but are soluble in water. Molar conductance measurements in water at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{M}} \approx 218-250 \Omega^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ indicate that the complexes are $2: 1$ electrolytes. The i.r. spectra in KBr showed two bands between 1515 and $1550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which may be assigned to a chelated O-bonded acetylacetonato group. A strong band between $312-307 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a medium intensity band around $455 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are assigned to $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Cl})$ and $v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{O})$, respectively. The c.v.s of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\right.$ (acac)(phen) $]$ for $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution in acetonitrile using tetraethylammonium perchlorate as a supporting electrolyte showed one quasireversible ( $\Delta E=90-100 \mathrm{mV}$ ) reduction wave at negative potentials, $E_{1 / 2}=-0.30 \mathrm{~V}$ and -0.28 V , respectively, corresponding to ruthenium(III)/ruthenium(II) reduction versus s.c.e. ${ }^{(4)}$.

## Electronic absorption spectra

The spectra of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ in chloroform and water are similar (Table 2), showing four absorption bands having $\varepsilon$ in the $2600-8700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range. The high intensities of these bands indicate that none of them are due to $d-d$ type transitions. The highest energy transition, around

285 nm , may be assigned to an intra-ligand $\left(\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}\right)$ transition ${ }^{(16)}$, whilst the other absorptions around 575,525 and 330 nm may be assigned to LMCT bands of the type $\pi(\mathrm{acac}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ru}\left(t_{2 g}\right)$ and $\pi(\mathrm{Cl}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ru}\left(t_{2 g}\right)^{(17,18)}$.

The spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]$ in chloroform is similar to that of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$. Aqueous solutions of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ are purple, but immediately turn bluish green on the addition of base $\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right)$. Addition of acid reversibly restores the original purple. The spectrum of the compound in basic solution contains a new band at 670 nm , whilst the band at 530 nm is absent. The colour of the solution in the presence of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$may be due to the formation of the complex anion $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ (acac) $\left.(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]^{2-}$, the acidification of which may result in $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$. The visible spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{acac})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ is similar to that of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{acacH})\right]$ in water, presumably due to formation of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})-\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ ] in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

The $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]$ complexes $\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right.$ or py) showed one band around 600 nm ( $\varepsilon$ ca. 235 to 290) and two additional bands below 400 nm . The former can be assigned to a d-d transition ( ${ }^{2} T_{2 g} \rightarrow{ }^{2} A_{2 g}$ or ${ }^{2} A_{1 g}$ ) in a low spin $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ system $^{(18)}$. Of the two bands in $400-300 \mathrm{~nm}$ region, one band having $\varepsilon=10^{4}$ or above is assigned to the intraligand $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ transition, whilst the other is assigned to an LMCT transition (vide supra). [ $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac}) \mathrm{L}\right],(\mathrm{L}=$ bipy or phen) in chloroform exhibits similar features to that of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{acac})(\operatorname{acacH})\right]$, except for the appearance of a new band around 450 nm assigned to the $\pi$ (bipy or phen) $\rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Ru}\left(t_{2 g}\right)$ transition.

The spectra of $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{4}(\mathrm{acac})\right]\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right.$, Cs or Rb ) in water showed one band at 510 nm ( $\varepsilon \mathrm{ca} .415$ to 645 ) and two more bands below 350 nm . The first of these can be assigned to a combination of a d-d transition ( ${ }^{2} T_{2 g} \rightarrow$ ${ }^{2} A_{2 g}$ or $\left.{ }^{2} A_{1 g}\right)$ and an LMCT $\pi(\mathrm{acac}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ru}\left(t_{2 g}\right)$ transition. The bands below 350 nm may be assigned to a $\pi(\mathrm{Cl}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Ru}\left(t_{2 g}\right)$ transition.

## Conclusion

The complex of ruthenium(II) containing neutral acetylacetone, acetylacetonate and chloride is described, and its structural assignment is made on the basis of physicochemical and spectroscopic methods. Its reactivity has been studied towards various donor ligands, when $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ (acac) $\left.\mathrm{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{AsPh}_{3}, \mathrm{py}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right.$. o-phenylenediamine; $\mathrm{L}_{2}=$ phen or bipy) have been obtained with substitution of coordinated acacH.
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